

Central Connecticut State University (CCSU) > Curriculum Committee (Main Meeting)

[Send To Printer](#)

## Minutes for University Curriculum Committee meeting of October 6, 2010

Present (alphabetically by department):

Rollin, Ruth	Biology
Dobbs-McAuliffe, Betsy	Biomolecular Sciences
Watton, Steve	Chemistry & Biochemistry
Panoutsopoulos, Basile	Computer Electronics & Graphics Technology
King, Cherie	Counseling & Family Therapy
Simmons, Reginald	Criminology & Criminal Justice
Wang, Wujun	Design
Mijid, Nara	Economics
Baumann, Peter	Engineering
Frank, Lisa	Finance
Williams, Louise	History
Miller, Dan	Management & Organization
Lee-Partridge, Joo-Eng	Management Information Systems
Hickey, Robert	Manufacturing & Construction Management
Miller, Adele	Mathematics
Kazecki, Jakub	Modern Languages
Adams, Don	Philosophy
Evans, Mark	Physics & Earth Sciences
Smith, Robbin	Political Science
Perdue, Lauren	Psychology
Valerie, Lynda	Reading & Language Arts
Love, Kurt	Teacher Education
Siragusa, Sheila	Theatre
Wolff, Robert	A&S Dean

The committee approved the minutes of the September 1, 2010 main meeting.

Don reminded members to check with Matthew Bielawa for available course numbers when adding a new course or changing the number of an existing one. He also reminded them to check the shadow catalogs for the most up-to-date versions of all courses and programs, prior to proposing modifications to them. The 2012-14 Graduate Catalog is now on-line. For the moment, it coincides with the shadow catalog, which, however, will now receive a different url since it will be modified whereas the locked-down public catalog will not be.

Don discussed some recent efforts to cap the number of students in a major, in departments that are overwhelmed with numbers. As a first recourse, he recommended, in Arts & Sciences, consulting with the Dean's office on strategies to handle this problem.

Don announced the formation, by the Senate, of task forces on Writing Across the Curriculum and General Education. The focus of the task force on WAC is pedagogy, not curriculum reform. The second task force was constituted to review general education requirements. By February, it will have arrived at a decision as to whether to revise general education; if it decides that such a revision is desirable, it will then spend one to two years developing a proposal for a new general education program,

which would, therefore, come to the University Curriculum Committee next year at the earliest.

The Consent Agenda was passed without revision or modification. This included the Course Deletion List, derived from the complete list of courses not offered in the past two years where it is indicated whether they should be retained or deleted from the catalog.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul A. Karpuk

Secretary, University Curriculum Committee 2010-11

Professor, Dept. of English